

Age of Selfishness


I have decided—selfishly--to devote the rest of my life to two things: my FOR things and my AGAINST things.

GARY DEAN DEERING

APR 4



READ IN APP ↗



BiO Spiritualism - Body, Mind and Spirit: Man's Means, Nature's Ends.

Body: *The Industrial Revolution—a lever for man's muscles. (1740 to 1970)*

Mind: *The Information Age – a lever for man's mind. (1970 to 2023)*

Spirit: *The Age of Selfishness – a lever for man's spirit. (2023 to infinity)*

I have decided—selfishly--to devote the rest of my life to two things: my *for* things and my *against* things.

I am **AGAINST** table and chairs and bedroom sets and **FOR** furniture.

If you think this is nonsensical in the *it doesn't make any sense* sense how can you think the following makes eminent sense when promulgated by a Collectivist mind:

I am **AGAINST** Racism and **FOR** Collectivism.

That is, when Ayn Rand pointed out to us [that](#) racism is the lowest form of *collectivism* where were the Democrats?

If your answer is, they were succeeding in transforming themselves into *perfect* Collectivists you would be right.

They were doing this because they knew since the Republicans during these same times (turn of the Millennium onward) were devolving into *imperfect* Capitalists they would be pushovers for letting the Collectivists take over the Country.

This isn't the first time the Collectivists have done this to Capitalists: (excerpted from my *Yes* book):

For correct answers to these kinds of "better" questions we have to turn to the Objectivists, who, as a group of professional intellectuals, have become—not by default, but by choice—the intellectual guardians of freedom in America (and by default, on the planet).

One such Objectivist is Dr. Peikoff who some thirteen years after Dr. Branden wrote the definitive psychological primer on how to become dignified man, Dr. Peikoff published his first book "*The Ominous Parallels. The End of Freedom in America*".[cxxxiii] In this book Dr. Peikoff—the intellectual heir to Ayn Rand's Objectivism following her unfortunate, sad death this same year—meticulously traced and identified for the whole world to see, the philosophical roots beneath and hence the cause of the German Nazis who initiated World War II and killed off freedom in a way never seen before. With this book Dr. Peikoff predicted that freedom in America was going to die by forces similar to those inhabiting the inner-conflicted ideologues—the Social Democrats—of Germany's Weimar Republic following World War I. The **Social Democrats** [<----!!! my add here] of that era thought they could integrate the nonintegrable, that is, they thought they were exempt from the laws of consciousness and as such that they could do the impossible. They of course didn't come out and say directly, "We think we can do the impossible", rather they "predicted" they could by implying they could. They implied they could integrate Marxism and Capitalism by integrating Capitalism's methods into Marxism's ideals.[cxxxiv] In essence "they" said: "Let's promote Marxism by using the Capitalists. Capitalistic man isn't very bright when it comes to [a sheep's view of] ethics so he should be pretty easy to control. **We'll use his productive superiority to promote our ideology.**" As a result—of trying to integrate the nonintegrable—the (German) Weimaricians made themselves and their country along with them ineffectual obstacles to the (Nazi) thugs who eventually took over Germany.[224]

Gary Deering. *Yes. (Is BiO Spiritualism the answer?)* (pp. 266-267), 2006, RaIse Books, LLC. Kindle Edition.

That the Collectivists did this in our Country by taking over the Constitution and using the government that constitutions are designed to protect--so think the Collectivists--to advance Collectivist Goals is also a scary thing—a scary thing that *actually* happened not a *potential* scary thing they keep yammering on about as smoke screen to continue covering up their sneaky ways. (As a Minnesotan in Governor-Walz-Land I have firsthand experience to what I'm saying here, so I say check out Minnesota first—California might even be sucking hind titty on this one.)

They may even be sneakier than The Church given that The Church at least makes their beliefs explicit—believe in God and repent or else you're 'gonna burn in hell forever. Though I suppose you could argue they are covering up the fact that for this to be true, it means there is no Oxygen in heaven—oops, jfk, what a slip (FN 71), I mean, hell, there is no Oxygen in hell. (Though this is a particular thorny pretzil that I don't have time to disentangle right now, let me start with intention of finishing later: burn requires oxygen, for something to burn forever means if it's an oxygen burn it *eventually* will burn up hence forever can't be. So ... for now check the pretzel link, as therein lies the—tbd--answer. *Maybe* it will have something to do with interlocking pretzels.)

I thought the Constitution was designed to protect *our* INDIVIDUAL rights. To protect us not the government. And to protect us from all thugs, foreign and domestic, like it's *trying* to do now.

Notice, even though it's scary, most of the current things the duly elected Government is doing is using legal *retaliatory* force on our behalf to protect us from foreign enemies and domestic thugs. (Eventually--I hope—they'll get to the local Collectivists who believe their Democratic Intellectuals who tell them it's morally permissible to *initiate* force against your opponents—burn down Tesla and/or physically damage Teslas--if it is for a “good”--read Collectivist—cause. See burned out “little Minneapolis” following the George Floyd riots—as well as some of the Democrat preachings during that event that reveal(ed) their core philosophy: it is morally ok and even good to INITIATE physical force against your opponents *if it is for promoting Collectivism.*)

Since the Government's only *moral* justification for existing is to protect *individual rights*—using legal *retaliatory* force against domestic criminals all the time and criminal foreign governments when necessary--why are so many—primarily if not exclusively—the Collectivists—be they Socialist sympathizers or Communist ones or Nazi ones or Mussolini ones or you-name-it Collectivist ones—so up in arms over this?

I actually think everybody knows what's going on here: the *Collectivist's* free meal-ticket is being *cancelled*.

Kind of a poetic justice don't you think (pun not intended). The Collectivists spent their prime years cancelling everybody including their own kind and now the imperfect Capitalists are managing to give some payback as well as get some take-back, though how much--if it ends up being just another flurry of EO's and not Amendment Additions with some teeth, to our Constitution—remains to be seen.

Whether or not it will completely succeed is still a tbd—to be determined.

I hope the hell it does and since somebody somewhere said, hope isn't a strategy, let me do a shout out of praise to those imperfect Capitalists—inside and outside the *current* Government—for taking up the challenge and so far, so good.


Pebble #1:

The *Bret Baier Eight* and The First Supper ... If we are lucky enough for them to hang in there and finish their job.



Versus

The [Soros Millions](#) and The Final Meal ... If the Bret Baier Eight fail.



These authors should release this book as a sequel: “*The Shadow Party: 20 years later.*”

I am FOR the *Bret Baier Eight* and think so far they are doing an excellent job.

Shout-out of thanks to them:

Elon Musk, Steve Davis, Joe Gebbia, Aram Moghaddassi, Brad Smith, Anthony Armstrong, Tom Krause, Tyler Hassan. Way to go guys, keep it up. (Also, to Bill Ackman from back when, [here](#) (kws: Bill) and Bret for doing the interview.)

I am AGAINST the Soros Millions who—qua super rich like the Eight are, Soros is, not his peon minions—outsmarted all of us, including the Eight by perpetrating and promoting Non-Profit “companies” and NGO’s for places to transfer confiscated taxpayer money as a sneaky way (their stock and trade M.O.) to give to their followers.

Notice they did this “legally” and is HOW they outsmarted their competitors.

But not to worry, [easy-peasy'ville](#) returns: We can get rid of the Soros tools by simply ratifying [Amendment #28](#), Chapter 6, and [#29](#)--if there’s no income taxes there’s no need to having sneaky reasons for getting around them.

And now let me close by using this space to introduce/propose ***Amendment #30:***

Figure out how the Federal Reserve is tasked with controlling our Country’s inflation rate to +2% and change it—by Amendment—to be a minus 2%.

That way in 36 years (rule of 72) our dollar with be worth DOUBLE what it is today rather than HALF or less than it is today—over the past 36 years our dollar has decreased in value by 40% rather than increased by 100% had we had Amendment #30 in place back in 1989.

If you need help in figuring out HOW to sunset our income taxes—incrementally rather than with a chainsaw--take a peek at [this](#) (Chapter 4) for one idea.

These Amendments are not the end all be all, but they would be a step in the right direction, albeit not the final answer on the question I asked some 25 years ago: [what is the proper way](#) ... (#4).

Given that the *perfect* Capitalists (100% *objectively fair capitalism*)--after they win the current round--*should* and hence *could* and hence *probably* would out-PRODUCE any Collectivist State on the planet so that maybe “tariffs” or some version of them would be that proper way.

Albeit, this is a biggy question and as such still requires answering.

At least the Trumpians are trying--why aren’t our other politicians focused on answering it?

Dunno

You tell me.

© April 4, 2023, Gary Dean Deering

Minnesota, USA